

OWNER'S INSPECTION CHECKLIST

NOTE: PRIOR TO COMPLETING THIS INSPECTION REPORT PLEASE REVIEW THE "GUIDELINES FOR INSPECTION OF DAMS" AVAILABLE ON THE MDEQ WEBSITE. ALSO, TO BE CONSIDERED COMPLETE YOU MUST INCLUDE PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE DAM AND ALL APPURTENANT STRUCTURES WITH THE INSPECTION REPORT.

DAM NAME: Yesterday's South Lake Dam

DAM INVENTORY NO: MS00067

COUNTY: TATE

HAZARD CLASS: HIGH

LEGAL OWNER (include address, telephone number, and e-mail):

Friend of the Lake, LLC. LLC Main Contact: Jill M. Kallos 135 Waverly Road Senatobia, MS 38668 662.562.7879	Yesterday's South Lake Corp. Main Contact: Joe & Brandi Carlisle 122 Waverly Circle Senatobia, MS 38668 662.560.0948	Brett & Lauren Alred 138 Waverly Circle Senatobia, MS 38668 662.902.1223
LLC owns approx. 4/5ths of the downstream slope	LLC owns the lake and the upstream side and the top	Owns approx. 1/5th of the downstream slope

OPERATOR/OTHER CONTACT (include address, telephone number, and e-mail):

N/A

DATE OF INSPECTION: March 20th, 2025

RESERVOIR INFORMATION

Normal Reservoir Elevation (ft):

Reservoir Elevation at time of inspection (ft):

WEATHER CONDITIONS (including recent rainfall): **Sunny, significant rain, saturated ground.**

PERSONNEL CONDUCTING INSPECTION:

Jill M. Kallos / Friend of the Lake LLC
135 Waverly Road
Senatobia, MS 38668
662.562.7879

PREVIOUS INSPECTIONS (date of)

Last Owner’s Inspection: **2023**

Last Informal Inspection: **Weekly**

Last Formal (done by a licensed engineer) Inspection: **2024**

EMERGENCY ACTION PLAN

Date of Last Approved Plan (when the plan was last distributed to the EAP holders): **March 2025**

Date of Last Revision: **Revision 5 dated 03/20/2025**

Is the notification flowchart complete and current? **Yes**

Is the downstream residents list current? **Yes**

When was the plan last tested? **Never. The Tate County EMA has never “checked-in” on the dam even when we’ve had record-breaking rain falls, nor have they ever completed the annual drill as required by the Emergency Action Plan. Any and all requests for assistance have been completely ignored.**

DAM CREST

Problems:

- None
- Ruts or Puddles
- Erosion
- Cracks with Displacement
- Sinkholes
- Not Wide Enough
- Low Area
- Misalignment
- Inadequate Surface Drainage
- Trees, Brush, Briars
- Other:

If Trees, Brush, Briars is checked above please describe the nature and extent of vegetation on the dam?

Overall Summary of Condition: **Good**

Comments:

UPSTREAM FACE OF DAM

Problems:

- None
- Riprap - Missing, Sparse, Displaced, Weathered
- Wave Erosion-with Scarps
- Cracks-with Displacement
- Sinkhole
- Appears Too Steep
- Depressions or Bulges
- Slides
- Animal Burrows
- Trees, Brush, Briars

Other:

If Trees, Brush, Briars is checked above please describe the nature and extent of vegetation on the dam? **Small trees/brush. Owners of Lake should remove when lake level drops.**

Overall Summary of Condition: **Good**

Comments:

DOWNSTREAM FACE OF DAM

Problems:

- None Livestock Damage Erosion or Gullies Cracks with Displacement
 Sinkholes Appears too Steep Depression or Bulges Slide(s) Soft Areas
 Trees, Brush, Briars on dam or within 50 feet of toe Animal Burrows
 Other:

If Trees, Brush, Briars is checked above please describe the nature and extent of vegetation on the dam and/or near the toe?

99% of trees have been removed. I can not remove remainder due to running out of money. I have requested assistance from both Tate County and MDEQ to no avail. Other owning entities, especially the lake owners have not be of assistance either.

Any new seepage areas (Yes / No?): **No**

Any previously existing seepage areas where seepage has noticeably increased (Yes / No?): **No**

Any seepage that is muddy, milky, or turbid (Yes / No?): **No**

Any sand boils present at, or near the toe (Yes / No?): **No**

Overall Summary of Condition: **Excellent grass cover, solid and well maintained.**

Comments:

UTILITIES

Utilities Installed in Embankment or Toe?

Phone/Cable Water Electrical Sewer Gas

Does the location of all utilities appear on the as-built plans for the dam?

PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY

Any debris blocking spillway (Yes / No?): **No**

Overall condition of material (good condition, poor condition, exposed reinforcement, cracks, corrosion): **Good**

Any joints displaced or separated (Yes / No?): **N/A**

Evidence of water leaking into or out of spillway (water entering or exiting below entrance of spillway): **N/A**

AUXILIARY (EMERGENCY) SPILLWAY

Any debris blocking spillway (Yes / No?): **N/A**

Erosion present on or below spillway (Yes / No?): **N/A**

Condition of spillway cover material: **N/A**

OUTLET STRUCTURE AND EXIT CHANNEL

Condition of structure: **N/A**

Any erosion or undermining around outlet structure (Yes / No?): **N/A**

Any seepage around outlet structure (Yes / No?): **N/A**

Exit channel clear of debris / vegetation (Yes / No?): **N/A**

DRAINAGE SYSTEM

Are drain pipes plugged (Yes / No?):

N/A

Are drains discharging water or are they dry?

N/A

Is discharge clear or muddy?

N/A

Does the location of all drainage systems/filters appear on the as-built plans for the dam?

N/A

GATES AND VALVES

Are gates, valves or stems broken or bent? **N/A**

Are gates, valves or stems corroded?

N/A

Are gates, valves or stems not operational?

N/A

Are gates, valves or stems leaking while closed?

N/A

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Is dam being operated and maintained in accordance with approved operation and maintenance manual?

The dam is being maintained and visually inspected weekly.

INSTRUMENTATION

If instruments are present and can be read by owner / operator, then give instrument description / number, instrument readings and date of readings and relation to normal readings:

N/A

SUMMARY

List any specific concerns with the dam that should be inspected during the next formal inspection:

As MDEQ is aware, Tate County allowed this dam to be built without the proper permits, documentation or inspections as required by Mississippi law and due to the fact that if it breaches there is the possibility of loss of life and property, MDEQ has rated it "High Hazard".

The dam is clearly mentioned on the subdivision plans on file at the courthouse that were signed off by the Developer, the Planning Commission President, the Board of Supervisors President, the Chancery Clerk, the County Engineer and a Certified Engineer -- yet no one thought to ensure that the law was being followed or even think that a High Hazard levee can be built, in the middle of a subdivision, without a stitch of documentation or analysis or inspection?

Tate County failed in their duty to ensure the law was followed when allowing this dam to be built in the middle of a subdivision and have subsequently IGNORED ALL REQUESTS for assistance -- from initially requesting help in clearing off the dam (which I ended up personally paying to the tune of thousands of dollars) to assisting with the creating & testing of the Emergency Action Plan for which they have offered zero input or assistance and have never even attempted to test.

It is their legal duty and they have failed us at all levels.

CERTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL CONDUCTING INSPECTION

I certify that the above dam was personally inspected by me and the conditions described herein are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature:



Date

March 20, 2025

On behalf of the legal entities listed on page 1 of this checklist.